

Lecture 12: Error-correcting Codes: Motivation

Outline

- Today we will see the main underlying problem that motivates error-correcting codes.
- Then, we will introduce the basics of Fields, and
- Finally, we will introduce Reed-Solomon Codes.

Setting

- The sender wants to send an n -bit message m to the receiver
- But the communication channel they are using is not reliable
- The channel flips every bit transmitted over it independently with probability ε
- If the sender transmits the message m as is over the channel, note that if any bit gets flipped, the receiver will not receive the correct message
- So, the probability that all bits are correctly transmitted is $(1 - \varepsilon)^n$, which is exponentially low
- How can the sender reliably communicate to the receiver?

Problem Formulation

- The sender has a message m
- The sender uses an encoding algorithm $\text{Enc}(\cdot)$ to compute the encoding of the message m , i.e., $c = \text{Enc}(m)$
- The message c is transmitted over the channel and the receiver receives the (possibly) altered message \tilde{c}
- The receiver applies a decoding algorithm $\text{Dec}(\cdot)$ on \tilde{c} to recover the message, i.e., $\tilde{m} = \text{Dec}(\tilde{c})$
- We want to ensure that the probability of correctly recovering the message is at least, say, 0.99

First Encoding Scheme: Repetition Code

- Suppose $m \in \{0, 1\}$
- Suppose $\text{Enc}(m) = mmm$
- Suppose $\text{Dec}(\tilde{m}_1, \tilde{m}_2, \tilde{m}_3) = \text{maj}(\tilde{m}_1, \tilde{m}_2, \tilde{m}_3)$

Note that the probability that the message is correctly recovered is:

$$\binom{3}{0}(1 - \varepsilon)^3 + \binom{3}{1}\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)^2$$

In this case, the encoding function repeated the input message 3 times.

Think: Given ε and the probability of successful transmission 0.99, how many times should the encoding function repeat the message?

Decoding Algorithm: Maximum Likelihood Decoding

- Suppose the receiver receives the erroneous string \tilde{c} from the channel
- What message should it decode to?
- The best decoding algorithm (ignoring efficiency of the decoding algorithm) is the Maximum Likelihood Decoding
 - Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all messages
 - Suppose the message m is encoded as $\text{Enc}(m)$ by the encoding function
 - We can compute the probability $p(\tilde{c}|\text{Enc}(m))$, i.e. the probability that the channel input $c = \text{Enc}(m)$ was altered into the received string \tilde{c}
 - Output $m \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $p(\tilde{c}|\text{Enc}(m))$ is maximum
- For specific codes, there are algorithms that are more efficient

Quality of the Channel

- Note that when $\varepsilon = 1/2$, there is no way to reliably transmit a message, because all messages are equally likely conditioned on the received string \tilde{c}
- Note that when $\varepsilon = 0$, it is trivial to transmit messages reliably
- As ε increases from 0 to $1/2$, we expect the task of transmitting message to get “increasingly difficult.” Alternately, their reliability continues to decrease
- When $\varepsilon > 1/2$ the starts to get more “reliable!” Note that $\varepsilon = \delta$ and $\varepsilon = 1 - \delta$ are (roughly) “identical channels” and, intuitively, their qualities are identical
- When $\varepsilon = 1$, it is again trivial to transmit messages over the channel

Abstract Algebra: Fields

A field $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ is a set of elements \mathbb{F} endowed with two operations $+$ (addition) and \cdot (multiplication) that satisfies the following conditions

- Closure: For all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$, we have $a + b \in \mathbb{F}$ and $a \cdot b \in \mathbb{F}$
- Commutativity: For all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$, we have $a + b = b + a$ and $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$
- Associativity: For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$, we have $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$ and $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$
- Identities: There exists unique elements $0, 1 \in \mathbb{F}$ such that, for all $a \in \mathbb{F}$, we have $a + 0 = a$ and $a \cdot 1 = a$
- Inverses: For every $a \in \mathbb{F}$, there exists a unique element $(-a) \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a + (-a) = 0$, and for every $a \in \mathbb{F}$, if $a \neq 0$, there exists a unique element $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$
- Distributivity: For every $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$, we have $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$

Example: Infinite Fields

- Let \mathbb{Q} be the set of all rationals. Then $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$ is a field, where the operations are defined as follows
 - $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad+bc}{bd}$, and
 - $\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}$.
- Note that $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is not a field, where \mathbb{Z} is the set of all integers
- Note that $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$ is a field, where \mathbb{C} is the set of all complex numbers

Example: Finite Fields

- Let \mathbb{Z}_p , represent the set of all integers $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$. For prime p , $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +, \cdot)$ is a finite field where we define
 - $a + b = (a + b) \bmod p$ (i.e., integer addition mod p), and
 - $a \cdot b = (ab) \bmod p$ (i.e., integer multiplication mod p).
- The only non-triviality is to argue that every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $a \neq 0$ has a unique inverse. The proof is left as an exercise. Hint: Show that a^{p-2} is the inverse of a .

- Let $n = p^\alpha$, where p is a prime and α is a positive integer
- Let \mathbb{F} be the set of all polynomials in X of degree $< \alpha$ such that the coefficients of each term in the polynomial is in \mathbb{Z}_p
- So, the tuple $(a_0, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\alpha$ can be equivalently interpreted as the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} a_i X^i$
- So, elements of \mathbb{F} can be interpreted either as the tuple $(a_0, \dots, a_{\alpha-1})$ or the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} a_i X^i$
- The sum of two polynomial is defined as follows:

$$(a_0, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}) + (b_0, \dots, b_{\alpha-1}) := (a_0 + b_0, \dots, a_{\alpha-1} + b_{\alpha-1})$$

- Let $\Pi(X)$ be a monic polynomial with degree α and coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p . Suppose $\Pi(X)$ does not have any roots in \mathbb{Z}_p
- The product of two polynomials $(a_0, \dots, a_{\alpha-1})$ and $(b_0, \dots, b_{\alpha-1})$ is given by the polynomial

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} a_i X^i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} b_i X^i \right) \pmod{\Pi(X)}$$

- Think: What is the unique inverse of the polynomial represented by $(a_0, \dots, a_{\alpha-1})$?

- Suppose we want to define a field of size $8 = 2^3$
- We have $p = 2$ and $\alpha = 3$
- So, \mathbb{F} is the following set

$$\{0, 1, X, X + 1, X^2, X^2 + 1, X^2 + X, X^2 + X + 1\}$$

- We use the irreducible polynomial $\Pi(X) = X^3 + X + 1$
- Sum of two polynomial is defined naturally
- Product of two polynomials is defined by multiplying them and then taking $\text{mod } \Pi(X)$
- What are the inverses of each element in \mathbb{F}

- Suppose the message is $(m_0, \dots, m_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{F}^k$
- Consider the polynomial $M(Z) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} m_i Z^i$
- Let $\mathbb{F} = \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{|\mathbb{F}|-1}\}$
- The encoding of (m_0, \dots, m_{k-1}) is defined to be

$$\left(M(e_0), M(e_1), \dots, M(e_{|\mathbb{F}|-1}) \right)$$

- Think: “Sum of two different codewords” is the codeword corresponding to the “sum of the two corresponding messages”

- Think: Two different codewords have Hamming distance at least $|\mathbb{F}| - (k - 1)$. If the Hamming distance is $\leq |\mathbb{F}| - k$, then the difference of the codewords has $\geq k$ zeros. But a degree $(k - 1)$ polynomial can have at most $(k - 1)$ zeros, unless it is the zero-polynomial. So, the difference of the two codewords is the evaluation of the zero-polynomial at the field elements. This implies that the corresponding messages were identical. Hence contradiction.